## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

BEE CREEK PHOTOGRAPHY,	S	
Plaintiff,	§ §	
	S	4 00 OH 4074 DH
V.	8	1:23-CV-1271-DII
LONESTAR CAPITAL HOLDINGS LLC,	8	
Defendant.	8	

## <u>ORDER</u>

Before the Court is the report and recommendation from United States Magistrate Judge Mark Lane concerning Plaintiff Bee Creek Photography's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Default Judgment, (Dkt. 12). (R. & R., Dkt. 15). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Rule 1(d) of Appendix C of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Judge Lane issued his report and recommendation on June 6, 2024. (*Id.*). As of the date of this order, no party has filed objections to the report and recommendation.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), a party may serve and file specific, written objections to a magistrate judge's proposed findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation and, in doing so, secure de novo review by the district court. When no objections are timely filed, a district court can review the magistrate's report and recommendation for clear error. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note ("When no timely objection is filed, the [district] court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.").

Because no party has filed timely objections, the Court reviews the report and recommendation for clear error. In the report and recommendation, Judge Lane recommended that the Court grant Plaintiff \$7,000 in statutory damages, \$1,187.50 in attorney's fees, and \$440 in costs.

(R. & R., Dkt. 15, at 11). Having found no clear error, the Court adopts Judge Lane's recommendation and will award Plaintiff the above amounts. In its proposed default judgment, Plaintiff also requested post-judgement interest be awarded at the applicable rate. (Proposed Order, Dkt. 12-6, at 1). "Post-judgment interest is awarded as a matter of course" under 28 U.S.C. § 1961(a). Meaux Surface Prot., Inc. v. Fogleman, 607 F.3d 161, 173 (5th Cir. 2010). Accordingly, the Court finds that Plaintiff is also entitled to post-judgment interest at a rate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961(a).

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, (Dkt. 15), is **ADOPTED**. Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment, (Dkt. 12), is **GRANTED**.

The Court will enter final judgment by separate order.

**SIGNED** on June 24, 2024.

ROBERT PITMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE